

Wong, Jun Khew

22 July 2021

On 22 July 2021 the Legal Profession Complaints Committee, in the exercise of its summary conclusion powers under section 426 of the *Legal Profession Act 2008*, resolved that it was satisfied that there was a reasonable likelihood that the practitioner would be found guilty by the State Administrative Tribunal of unsatisfactory professional conduct (within the meanings of sections 402 and 438), where in the course of acting for his client in respect of property and parenting matters his conduct fell short of the standard of competence and diligence that a member of the public is entitled to expect of a reasonably competent Australian legal practitioner, in breach of Rules 6(1)(a), 6(1)(b), 6(2)(b), 6(2)(c) and 9(2) of the *Legal Profession Conduct Rules 2010*, by submitting a reply to a “Google review” that resulted in an improper disclosure of the client’s confidential information, was contrary to the client’s best interests, was discourteous, may be prejudicial to the administration of justice and has the potential to bring the profession into disrepute.

Outcome: Fine: \$500
 Public Reprimand